

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,569	08/30/2001	Bradley Dale Mitchell	12522:12.	4828	
	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
David B. Ritc Thelen Reid &			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER		
PO Box 64064	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
San Jose, CA	95164-0640		1746		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>(</del>
055		09/943,569	MITCHELL, BRADLEY DALE	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexander Markoff	1746	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	PATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	s
Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified if NO period for reply is spectors.      Failure to reply within the set to the period for reply is spectors.	FUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. et above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ified above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, ice later than three months after the mailing nt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the maining date of this commun	lication.
Status				
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>01 Se</u>	entember 2004		
2a) This action is FII		action is non-final.		,
3) Since this applic	ation is in condition for allowan		rs prosecution as to the man	ita ia
closed in accord	ance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quavle, 1935 C.D.	11 453 O G 213	115 15
Disposition of Claims	·	,,,	. 1, 100 0.3. 210.	
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/	are pending in the application.			
	claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from	m consideration		
5) Claim(s) i		n consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/				
7) Claim(s) is				
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	,	and an annum.		
-	io objected to built - E	,		
	is objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not	ed on <u>01 September 2004</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟	objected to by the Examiner.	
Poplessment draw	request that any objection to the di	awing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement draw	ing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
. 11)∐ The oath or decla	ration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
12) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	e * c)□ None of:			
	ppies of the priority documents			
2.☐ Certified co	ppies of the priority documents	have been received in App	lication No	
<ol> <li>Copies of ti</li> </ol>	he certified copies of the priority	y documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application	from the International Bureau (	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
* See the attached d	etailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rec	ceived.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (	(PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Pat</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure State</li> </ul>	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/01</u>	//04.	5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 11	2604

Art Unit: 1746

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 2-10 in the reply filed on 9/01/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/01/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al (US Patent No 5,759,287).

Chen et al teach a method as claimed. See entire document especially columns 2-4.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/04 and 9/01/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,569

Art Unit: 1746

With respect to claim 2 the applicants argue that, according to them, Chen et al do not teach removal or evaporating of a deposited material. The applicants state that Chen et al is directed to the preparation after a wet-clean.

At the same time the applicants state that Chen et al is directed to removal of absorbed materials.

The applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

First, the prior art teaches a method comprising the same steps as claimed.

Second, nowhere the document is limited only to cleaning after a wet cleaning. In contrast, the document teaches practicing a method after a production process. The document specifically mentions a PVD process, which is a deposition process.

Third, the document specifically concern about contamination on the surface.

See at least column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 21. Even applicants themselves admit that the document is directed to removal of absorbed materials.

Fourth, the claim is not limited to any specific material.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, the applicants rely on the arguments provided for claim 1. It is noted that claim 1 is an apparatus claim and it is not clear how the method claims can have "many similar properties of claim 1". It is noted that the applicants argue that Chen et al do not teach any operation by which it sublimates or evaporates deposited material on the interior walls.

This is not persuasive because of the reasons provided above for claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,569

Art Unit: 1746

With respect to claim 10 the applicants argue that nothing in Chen et al seems to state or suggest the step of changing the material deposited on the walls prior to unsealing the production device.

This is not persuasive because it is inherent that the device, which is supposed to be used in the production, would be unsealed to allow introduction and removal of the substrates to be processed in the device.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER